

Planning Team Report

ismore LEP 2012 Mapping - Amendment to rectify cadastral inaccuracies Proposal Title : Lismore LEP 2012 Mapping - Amendment to rectify cadastral inaccuracies The planning proposal has been prepared to correct cadastral errors that occurred in the Proposal Summary : Lismore LEP 2012 maps during the final stages of the plan making process. Council is also seeking to update the LEP mapping cadastre in and around the village of Clunes and parts of East Lismore in accordance with the NSW land and Property Information updated cadastral base. PP Number : PP 2013 LISMO 003 00 Dop File No : 13/08763 **Proposal Details Date Planning** 21-May-2013 LGA covered : Lismore Proposal Received : RPA: **Lismore City Council** Northern Region : Section of the Act : State Electorate : LISMORE 55 - Planning Proposal LEP Type : Housekeeping **Location Details** Street : Suburb : City : Postcode : Land Parcel : Various locations within the Lismore LGA **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Jennifer Vallis Contact Name : 0266416606 Contact Number : Contact Email : jenny.vallis@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Greg Yopp Contact Name : 0266250042 Contact Number : greg.yopp@lismore.nsw.gov.au Contact Email : **DoP Project Manager Contact Details James Clark** Contact Name : Contact Number : 0266416604 Contact Email : jim.clark@planning.nsw.gov.au Land Release Data Growth Centre : Release Area Name : N/A Yes Regional / Sub Consistent with Strategy : **Regional Strategy:**

MDP Number :	24.5	Date of Release :
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings 0 (where relevant) :
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created : 0
The NSW Government	Yos	
Lobbyists Code of		
Conduct has been		
complied with :		
If No, comment :		ing Code of Practice in relation to communication and meetings complied with to the best of the Region's knowledge.
Have there been	No	
meetings or		
communications with registered lobbyists? :	100	
If Yes, comment :	•	met any lobbyist in relation to this proposal, nor has the Northern ny meeting between other Departmental officers and lobbyists
supporting notes		
Internal Supporting		
Notes :		
	5 C	
External Supporting Notes :	that includes missing blo	necessary to initiate corrections across the series of LEP maps cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an as zones, height of buildings and floor space ratios.
	that includes missing blo	-
Notes :	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an
Notes : equacy Assessmen	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an
Notes : equacy Assessmen statement of the ob	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a t jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an as zones, height of buildings and floor space ratios.
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment :	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a t jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The objectives and interview	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an as zones, height of buildings and floor space ratios. ended outcomes of the planning proposal are adequately express adment.
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment :	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The objectives and inter for the proposed amon isions provided - s55(2)	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an as zones, height of buildings and floor space ratios. ended outcomes of the planning proposal are adequately express adment.
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment : Explanation of prov	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The objectives and inter for the proposed amen isions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided? Yes The planning proposal	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an as zones, height of buildings and floor space ratios. ended outcomes of the planning proposal are adequately express adment. 2)(b) I provides a clear explanation of the intended provisions to achiev ended outcomes. Some examples of mapping inaccuracies have
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The objectives and inte for the proposed amen isions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided? Yes The planning proposal the objectives and inte been provided by Course	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an as zones, height of buildings and floor space ratios. ended outcomes of the planning proposal are adequately express adment. 2)(b) I provides a clear explanation of the intended provisions to achiev ended outcomes. Some examples of mapping inaccuracies have
Notes : equacy Assessment Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Uustification - s55 (2	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The objectives and inte for the proposed amen isions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided? Yes The planning proposal the objectives and inte been provided by Course	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an as zones, height of buildings and floor space ratios. ended outcomes of the planning proposal are adequately express adment. 2)(b) I provides a clear explanation of the intended provisions to achievended outcomes. Some examples of mapping inaccuracies have ncil.
Notes : equacy Assessment Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Ustification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strates	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The objectives and inter for the proposed amen isions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided? Yes The planning proposal the objectives and inter been provided by Cour 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the Dir	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an as zones, height of buildings and floor space ratios. ended outcomes of the planning proposal are adequately express adment. 2)(b) I provides a clear explanation of the intended provisions to achievended outcomes. Some examples of mapping inaccuracies have ncil.
Notes : equacy Assessment Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Uustification - s55 (2	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The objectives and inter for the proposed amen isions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided? Yes The planning proposal the objectives and inter been provided by Cour 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the Dim ntified by RPA :	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an as zones, height of buildings and floor space ratios. ended outcomes of the planning proposal are adequately express adment. 2)(b) I provides a clear explanation of the intended provisions to achievended outcomes. Some examples of mapping inaccuracies have ncil.
Notes : equacy Assessment Statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Pustification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strateg b) S.117 directions ider * May need the Directo	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The objectives and inter for the proposed amen isions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided? Yes The planning proposal the objectives and inter been provided by Cour 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the Dim ntified by RPA :	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an as zones, height of buildings and floor space ratios. ended outcomes of the planning proposal are adequately express adment. 2)(b) I provides a clear explanation of the intended provisions to achiev ended outcomes. Some examples of mapping inaccuracies have ncil. rector General? Yes
Notes : equacy Assessment Statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strates b) S.117 directions ider * May need the Director Is the Director Gene	that includes missing blo mapping attributes such a it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The objectives and inter for the proposed amon isions provided - s55(2) ovisions provided? Yes The planning proposal the objectives and inter been provided by Cour 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the Dir ntified by RPA : r General's agreement	cks of cadastre, distorted cadastre, missing boundaries of lots an as zones, height of buildings and floor space ratios. ended outcomes of the planning proposal are adequately express adment. 2)(b) I provides a clear explanation of the intended provisions to achieve ended outcomes. Some examples of mapping inaccuracies have ncil. rector General? Yes

Lismore LEP 2012 Mapping - Amendment to rectify cadastral inaccuracies

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? No

Comment :

Council is not required to supply the maps as the Department will be undertaking the work to correct the maps. It is understood that most of the inaccuracies were due to technical problems experienced by the Department's mapping division at the time the Lismore LEP 2012 was made. The Department's GIS staff were notified of the cadastre issues when the LEP maps were published on the legislation website in February 2013. The mapping team have agreed to make the necessary amendments to the maps.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? No

Comment : This is a minor matter involving corrections to mapping.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :	The planning proposal satisfies the adequacy criteria by:
	1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;
	2. Providing suitable explanation of the provisions proposed for the LEP to achieve the outcomes;
	3. Providng adequate justification for the proposal;
	4. Outlining why community consultation is not proposed;
	5. Providing a project timeline.
	A project timeline of three months is provided by Council. Due to the minor nature of the proposal, with no public exhibition or consultation with Government agencies, this
	timeframe is considered to be adequate. The community was consulted on the draft Lismore LEP on two separate occasions in accordance with the legislative requirements of the plan making process. This planning proposal does not involve any policy changes
	or changes to the maps apart from correcting the errors.
,	The evaluation criteria form for the delegation of plan making functions has not been completed as Council does not wish to exercise delegations for plan making in this
Ŷ.	instance. Council is of the opinion there would be no net gain in receiving delegation
	for this amendment. This is acceptable as Council is not amending the LEP maps, the
	corrections are being undertaken by the Department.

Lismore LEP 2012 Mapping - Amendment to rectify cadastral inaccuracies

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation	Lismore LEP 2012 was published on 22 February 2013. The proposal seeks to make
to Principal LEP :	corrections to the recently made LEP Maps.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The need for the planning proposal is to correct cadastral inaccuracies in the LEP 2012 maps. The LEP maps have to be accurate for Council to provide good cutomer service and to be able to exercise its regulatory functions under the EP&A Act 1979. The planning proposal is the best means of achieving the objectives and the intended outcomes.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with the strategic planning framework, the applicable S117 Ministerial Directions and the State Environmental Planning Policies
Environmental social economic impacts :	The planning proposal will not adversely affect any critical habitat, threatened species populations or ecological communities, or their habitats. There are no likely negative

environmental, social or economic effects associated with the planning proposal.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	Nil	
Timeframe to make LEP :	3 Month		Delegation :	DDG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :					
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :		5.8			к.
Resubmission - s56(2)(b): No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reasons	5:				e.
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required :				
No internal consultation					
Is the provision and fund	ling of state infrastructure	e relevant t	o this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :					

Document File Name		DocumentType Name	Is Public
Council report & resolution meeting 14 May 2013 for Planning Proposal Cadastral Errors.pdf Planning Proposal Cadastral errors - DP&I checklist.pdf Lismore City Council_21-05-2013_Cadastral Inaccurancies Planning Proposal - s56pdf		Proposal	Yes
		Proposal	No
		Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
nning Team Recomr	nendation		
Preparation of the planni	ing proposal supported at this stage:Re	ecommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:			
Additional Information :	It is recommended that: 1. The planning proposal proceed a 2. The planning proposal is to be co 3. Community consultation is not c 4. Delegation is not to be given to C	ompleted within 3 months; onsidered necesary; and	
	-	correction of technical mapping errors	which occurred
Supporting Reasons :	during procesiing of Lismore LEP 2		
Supporting Reasons :		R(6)	
	-		which occurre

÷

